

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,970	•	07/17/2003	Patrick Pignot	229.021	4015
23598	7590	11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
BOYLE FREDRICKSON NEWHOLM STEIN & GRATZ, S.C.				ROJAS, OMAR R	
250 E. W SUITE 10		SIN AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-		WI 53202		2874	
				DATE MAILED: 11/16/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			KK				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/622,970	PIGNOT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Omar Rojas	2874					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a r d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	August 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 10,12,14-16 and 18-27 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10,12,14-16,18-22 and 24-27 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) 23 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>January 23, 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	re: a)□ accepted or b)☑ c e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documer 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) <u>iled Action</u> .					

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. With regards to the amendment filed on August 10, 2005, all the requested changes to the claims, abstract, and specification have been entered. Claims 10, 12, 14-16, and 18-27 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. With regards to Applicant's request not make a final rejection, the examiner reproduces the relevant portion of MPEP §2173.06 below:

Second, where there is a great deal of confusion and uncertainty as to the proper interpretation of the limitations of a claim, it would not be proper to reject such a claim on the basis of prior art. As stated in *In re Steele*, 305 F.2d 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962), a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 should not be based on considerable speculation about the meaning of terms employed in a claim or assumptions that must be made as to the scope of the claims.

As indicated in the previous Office action, the scope of claims 13-22 was greatly confusing and uncertain. It would have required considerable speculation on the Examiner's part to determine the meaning of the terms employed by the previous version of claims 13-22 in order to make a rejection based upon prior art. The subsequent amendments made by Applicant(s) to claims 13-22 further support the Examiner's position. Accordingly, Applicant's request not to make a final rejection is respectfully denied.

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore:

Art Unit: 2874

a. the "other end of one of the optical fibers being stripped and connected to one receiver" and "the other end of the other of the optical fibers being stripped and connected to the other receiver" in part (c) of claim 20 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s);

- b. the "one end of the sheath overlying at least one portion of the transmitter and the other end....overlying at least a portion of each one of the receivers" in part (d) of claim 20 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s);
- c. the "one end of the outer sheath overlying at least a portion of the transmitter" and "the other end of the outer sheath overlying at least a portion of each one of the receivers" in part (d) of claim 21 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s);
- d. the "inner sheath...that overlies the optical fibers" in part (e) of claim 21 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s);
- e. the "a first sheath that overlies the sleeve" in part (e) of claim 22 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s);
- f. the "second sheath....has one end overlying at least a portion of the transmitter and another end overlying at least a portion of each of the receivers" in part (f) of claim 22 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s);

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing

should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 5. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:
 - The "other end of one of the optical fibers being stripped and connected to one receiver" and "the other end of the other of the optical fibers being stripped and connected to the other receiver" in part (c) of claim 20 is not clearly described by the specification.
 - The "one end of the sheath overlying at least one portion of the transmitter and the other end....overlying at least a portion of each one of the receivers" in part (d) of claim 20 is not clearly described by the specification.
 - The "one end of the outer sheath overlying at least a portion of the transmitter" and "the other end of the outer sheath overlying at least a portion of each one of the receivers" in part (d) of claim 21 is not clearly described by the specification.

Art Unit: 2874

• The "inner sheath...that overlies the optical fibers" in part (e) of claim 21 is not clearly described by the specification. the "a first sheath that overlies the sleeve" in part (e) of claim 22 is not clearly described by the specification.

• The "second sheath....has one end overlying at least a portion of the transmitter and another end overlying at least a portion of each of the receivers" in part (f) of claim 22 is not clearly described by the specification.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 14, the word "last" appears to be a misspelling of the word "least." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 10, 12, 14, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Patent No. 4,783,137 to Kosman et al. ("Kosman").

Regarding claim 10, Kosman teaches an optical coupler (see Figures 16 and 19) for transmitting an optical signal between a first device 398 and at least a plurality of second devices (436,438), the optical coupler comprising:

Art Unit: 2874

A plurality of optical fibers 406 each having first and second ends, a portion of each of the fibers 406 being stripped (Figure 19), the first device 398 disposed in optical communication with the second end of each one of the plurality of optical fibers, one second device 436 disposed in optical communication with a first end of one the optical fibers 406, and another second device 438 disposed in optical communication with the first end of another of the optical fibers 406 and with the second ends of the fibers 406 being juxtaposed with the first device 398 via splice bushing 394, a sleeve 452 which surrounds the second ends of the optical fibers 406 and from which the stripped portion of each of the optical fibers protrudes, and further comprising

A first, heat shrinkable sheath 454 that surrounds a stripped portion of each of the optical fibers which extends from the sleeve 452 to a portion 444 of optical fibers that is not stripped.

Regarding claim 12, as seen in Figure 19 of Kosman the second ends of the optical fibers 406 are stripped and disposed side-by-side, and stuck in the sleeve 452.

Regarding claim 14, Kosman discloses a second sheath 402 that meets the specified limitations

Regarding claim 15, Kosman discloses the specified limitations at col. 26, lines 6-14.

Regarding claim 16, as seen in Figure 16, the second sheath 402 has a Y-shape.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/622,970

Art Unit: 2874

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 18-22 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kosman as applied to claims 10, 12, and 14-16 above, and further in view of Patent 4,923,268 to Xu ("Xu").

Regarding claims 18-22 and 24-27, the previous remarks concerning claims 10, 12, and 14-16 are incorporated herein. It is further noted that the second sheath 402 of Kosman corresponds to the "outer sheath" of claim 21. The first sheath 454 of Kosman corresponds to the "inner sheath" of claim 21. Kosman further teaches that his first device 398 functions as a transmitter (col. 22, lines 42-49). One second device of Kosman, 436, is a receiver as clearly labeled in Figure 16.

Thus, Kosman only materially differs from claims 18-22 and 24-27 in that Kosman does not teach using a pair of receivers, but instead only teaches using one receiver 436.

Art Unit: 2874

Xu, on the other hand, teaches coupling a pair of receivers (42, 44) to a single transmitter 40 via optical fiber links (12, 14).

One motivation for using a pair of receivers in Kosman would be to optimize the degree of light coupling during the heat shrinking of the first sheath 454 and/or the sleeve 452. See Xu at column 4, line 59 to column 5, line 4.

Therefore, the invention specified by claims 18-22 and 24-27 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention in view of Kosman combined with Xu.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Regarding claim 23, the primary reason for allowance of the claim is the inclusion of the sleeve wicks and optical fiber wicks being intermingled around the first sheath.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Patent No. 5,384,875 to Shannon et al. teaches an optical fiber coupler having stripped fiber portions and protective sleeve(s).

Application/Control Number: 10/622,970

Art Unit: 2874

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Rojas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2357. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (12:00PM-8:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rod Bovernick, can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The official facsimile number for regular and After Final communications is (571) 273-8300. The examiner's RightFAX number is (571) 273-2357.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2874

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Omar Rojas
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2874

or November 7, 2005

> AKM ENAYET ULLAH PRIMARY EXAMINER